



Clinical Manifestation of Gastroesophageal Reflux among Children with Chronic Constipation

Seyed Mohsen Dehghani¹, Reza Poorghaiomi², Hazhir Javaherizadeh^{3,*}

1. Gastroenterohepatology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
2. Department of Pediatrics, Nemazee Teaching Hospital, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
3. Alimentary Tract Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

*** Corresponding Author:**

Hazhir Javaherizadeh, MD
Associate Professor of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Alimentary Tract Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran
Telefax: +98 6134443051
Email: Hazhirja@yahoo.com

Received: 28 Dec. 2019

Accepted: 28 May. 2020

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Functional gastrointestinal system diseases (FGIDs) are a group of childhood disorders, our knowledge of which is relatively limited. More importantly, the different subgroups among such a disease group are closely interrelated, and their natural courses and interrelations have yet to be fully clarified. Functional constipation and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) are most frequently seen among this group. However, evidence as to whether any relationship exists between them is limited. In this study, we tried to examine the existence or absence of this relationship.

METHODS

First, patients with functional constipation were identified based on ROME III criteria, which included 205 patients. All patients were classified into two groups of 185 and 20 patients based on their ability to respond to the questions. Then age, sex, duration of constipation, treatment, and symptoms associated with reflux were examined based on the GERD questionnaire (in case group 185) and I-GERD (in case group 20). Score > 11 in the GERD questionnaire and score > 15 in the I-GERD questionnaire were considered as reflux disease. The variables that were evaluated using Chi-square and Fisher exact tests using SPSS software version 19 included age, sex, the onset of constipation, constipation duration, duration of treatment of constipation, ROME III criteria, and symptoms associated with reflux.

RESULTS

In the current study 205 subjects were included (girls = 49.8%, boys = 50.2%). The mean age of the children was 5.51 ± 3.15 years. Among the Rome III criteria, the most frequent were retentive posturing, painful defecation, history of large stool defecation, defecation less than 2 times per week, stool accumulation in the rectum, and fecal incontinence more than once a week, respectively. The lowest symptom among people with chronic constipation was fecal incontinence. Also, 46.8% of all patients in the study had a positive familial history. In general, there were 29 patients (14.1%) with reflux out of the 205 patients with functional constipation. In the present study, no significant relationship was found between Rome III criteria and reflux.

CONCLUSION

The frequency of GERD among cases with constipation was 14.1%. There was no significant relationship between Rome III criteria and reflux.

KEYWORDS:

Reflux, Constipation, Children

Please cite this paper as:

Dehghani SM, Poorghaiomi R, Javaherizadeh H. Clinical Manifestation of Gastroesophageal Reflux among Children with Chronic Constipation. *Middle East J Dig Dis* 2020;**12**:178-181. doi: 10.34172/mejdd.2020.180.

INTRODUCTION

Functional constipation is one of the most frequent causes of children visits to pediatric gastroenterology clinics. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common esophageal problems in children. Both problems



© 2020 The Author(s). This work is published by Middle East Journal of Digestive Diseases as an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1: The frequency of Rome III criteria among children in our study

Defecations = < 2 / week	Yes	119(58%)
	No	86(42%)
Fecal incontinence > 1 / week	Yes	34(16.6%)
	No	171(83.4%)
Retentive posturing	Yes	191(93.2%)
	No	14(6.8%)
Painful defecation	Yes	185(90.2%)
	No	20(9.8%)
Presence of large fecal mass in the rectum	Yes	46(22.4%)
	No	159(77.6%)
History of large-diameter stools that may obstruct the toilet	Yes	180(78.8%)
	No	25(12.2%)

were considered as a functional problem. There are some reports about the correlation between constipation and reflux disease, but there is not any international consensus on this correlation. In the study by Bowrowitz and Sutphen, they concluded that many children with chronic upper intestinal symptoms suffered from chronic unrecognized constipation.¹ The aim of the current study was to evaluate the relationship between functional constipation and GERD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional and observational study was conducted in Pediatric Gastroenterology Clinic of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. Children aged < 16 years with the diagnosis of functional constipation were included in the current study. Rome III criteria were used for defining constipation in infants² and children³ in our study. Children with developmental delays, food allergy, organic problems, and thyroid disorders were excluded from the study.

205 patients were included in this study. Of them, 185 patients responded to questions without their parents' help, and 20 patients received help from their parents. The patients were categorized into two groups of 185 patients and 20 patients. GERDQ questionnaire was used for the first group,⁴ and I-GERD questionnaire was used for the second group. Score > 15 was considered as a GERD for I-GERD. Score > 11 was considered as a GERD in children.

Data analysis:

SPSS software version 19 was used for data analysis. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used. *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In the current study 205 children (boys = 50.2%, girl = 49.8%) were included. The mean age of the participants was 5.51 ± 3.15 years ranged from 6 months to 16 years. According to the degree of perception and responsiveness, the children were categorized into two groups consisting of 185 and 20 patients. In the second group, the questions responded by the parents. The mean onset of constipation was 32.27 ± 31.04 months (range: 1-156 months). The mean duration of constipation was 32.21 ± 28.85 months (range: 1-162 months). The duration of treatment was 1.47 ± 1.05 years (range: 1-5 years). Of the 205 children with constipation, 96 (46.8%) had a positive family history of constipation, of them 62 (64.5%) had first degree relatives with constipation, and 34 (35.4%) had second-degree relatives with constipation.

Of all cases, 77.6% had received treatment before the study. Polyethylene glycol was the most frequently used drug for the treatment of constipation. In our study, 11.4% of the patients with constipation had GERD. And of the 20 infants, 8 (40%) had GERD. Frequency of Rome III criteria among children was shown in Table 1. The most frequent criteria was retentive posturing (*n* = 191, 93.2%) and painful defecation (*n* = 185, 90.2%). Result of GERDQ questionnaire was shown in table-2. GERD symptoms among infants and toddlers were shown in table-3. There was no correlation between Rome III criteria and gastroesophageal reflux disease (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Functional constipation and GERD are among functional gastrointestinal disorders. The relationship between functional constipation and reflux disease has remained questionable.

The association between reflux symptoms and constipation was first described by Borowitz et al. They were reported 34 children with GERD who were resistant to classical therapy. Many of children underwent extensive laboratory and endoscopic examination. All children

Table 2: The questions of GERDQ questionnaire asked from the children and adolescents in our study

Questions	How many times does this occur per week?				Total
	0	1 day	2-3 days	4-7 days	
Q1	112 (60.5%)	17 (9.2%)	18 (9.7%)	38 (20.5%)	185
Q2	137 (74.1%)	16 (8.6%)	13 (7%)	19 (10.3%)	185
Q3	87 (47%)	10 (5.4%)	23 (12.4%)	65 (35.1%)	185
Q4	145 (78.4%)	6 (3.2%)	8 (4.3%)	26 (14.1%)	185
Q5	146 (78.9%)	6 (3.2%)	10 (5.4%)	23 (12.4%)	185
Q6	172 (93%)	1 (0.5%)	10 (5.4%)	2 (1.1%)	185

Q1: Burning sensation behind the breastbone (heartburn); Q2: Stomach contents moving up to the throat or mouth (regurgitation) Q3: Pain in the middle of the upper stomach area; Q4: Nausea; Q5: Trouble getting a good sleep during nights because of heartburn or regurgitation; Q6: Need for over-the-counter medicine for heartburn or regurgitation in addition to medicine your doctor prescribed

Table 3: GERD symptoms among the infants and toddlers participated in the study

Variables	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Total
Spit up	9 (45%)	3 (15%)	1 (5%)	3 (15%)	4 (20%)	20 (100%)
Feeding refusal	9 (45%)	3 (15%)	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	5 (25%)	20 (100%)
Stop eating	7 (35%)	5 (25%)	3 (15%)	2 (10%)	3 (15%)	20 (100%)
Cry after feeding	14 (70%)	3 (15%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (15%)	20 (100%)
Cry or fuss more than usual	10 (50%)	6 (30%)	0 (0)	1 (5%)	3 (15%)	20 (100%)
How often did the baby have hiccups?	7 (35%)	5 (25%)	5 (25%)	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	20 (100%)
How often did the baby have an episode of arching back?	14 (70%)	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	0 (0)	20 (100%)

Table 4: Correlation between Rome III and gastroesophageal reflux disease

Variables		GERD (No)	GERD (No)	p value
Defecation = < 2 / week	Yes	104(50.7%)	15(7.3%)	0.45
	No	72(35.1%)	14(6.8%)	
Fecal incontinence > 1 week	Yes	32(15.6%)	2(0.9%)	0.17
	No	44(70.2%)	27(13.1%)	
Retentive posturing	Yes	163(79.5%)	28(13.6%)	0.69
	No	13(6.3%)	1(0.4%)	
Painful defecation	Yes	161(78.5%)	24(11.7%)	0.17
	No	15(7.3%)	5(2.4%)	
Presence of large fecal mass	Yes	39(19%)	7(3.4%)	0.81
	No	137(66.8%)	22(10.7%)	
History of large diameter that may obstruct the toilet	Yes	155(75.6%)	25(12.1%)	0.76
	No	21(10.2%)	4(1.9%)	

were found to have constipation. Following treatment of constipation, most of the children showed resolution of the GERD symptoms.¹

In our study, constipation was more frequent among children with a positive family history of constipation. Similar findings were also reported.⁵

In another study by Baran and colleagues, significant

improvement in the acid reflux index and symptoms of GERD was achieved after treatment of constipation.⁶

We found no significant correlation between Rome III criteria and GERD. In the study by Baran and others, a significant relationship between GERD and constipation was found.⁷ In their study,⁷ 39.5% of the participants had GERD. In our study, the diagnosis of GERD was made

using clinical manifestations and questionnaires. But in the study by Baran and colleagues, pH metry was used for the diagnosis.

Another multicentric study with more sample is recommended to find correlation between GERD symptoms and functional constipation among children.

Study limitation: Single-center study and lack of pH monitoring for the evaluation of GERD were the limitations of this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was approved by Vice-Chancellor for Research Affair of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (No#93-01-01-8890)

ETHICAL APPROVAL

There is nothing to be declared.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest related to this work.

REFERENCES

1. Borowitz SM, Sutphen JL. Recurrent vomiting and persistent gastroesophageal reflux caused by unrecognized constipation. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2004;**43**:461-6. doi: 10.1177/000992280404300507.
2. Hyman PE, Milla PJ, Benninga MA, Davidson GP, Fleisher DF, Taminiou J. Childhood functional gastrointestinal disorders: neonate/toddler. *Gastroenterology* 2006;**130**:1519-26. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.11.065.
3. Rasquin A, Di Lorenzo C, Forbes D, Guiraldes E, Hyams JS, Staiano A, et al. Childhood Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders: child/Adolescent. *Gastroenterology* 2006;**130**:1527-37. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.08.063.
4. Jones R, Junghard O, Dent J, Vakil N, Halling K, Wernersson B, et al. Development of the GerdQ, a tool for the diagnosis and management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in primary care. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009;**30**:1030-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2009.04142.x
5. Dehghani SM, Moravej H, Rajaei E, Javaherizadeh H. Evaluation of familial aggregation, vegetable consumption, legumes consumption, and physical activity on functional constipation in families of children with functional constipation versus children without constipation. *Prz Gastroenterol* 2015;**10**:89-93. doi: 10.5114/pg.2015.48996.
6. Baran M, Cagan Appak Y, Karakoyun M, Yalcinkaya S, Eliacik K, Dundar B, et al. The overlap of gastroesophageal reflux disease and functional constipation in children: the efficacy of constipation treatment. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;**29**:1264-1268. doi: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000000979.
7. Baran M, Ozgenc F, Arikan C, Çakir M, Ecevit CO, Aydoğdu S, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux in children with functional constipation. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2012;**23**:634-8. doi: 10.4318/tjg.2012.0454.
8. Ekingen G, Ceran C, Guvenc BH, Tuzlaci A, Kahraman H. Early enteral feeding in newborn surgical patients. *Nutrition* 2005;**21**:142-6. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2004.10.003.